

# KRONECKER'S CANONICAL FORMS FOR NONLINEAR IMPLICIT DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

P. Rouchon\*

M. Fliess<sup>†</sup>

J. Lévine<sup>‡</sup>

2nd, IFAC Workshop on System Structure and Control, Prague, 3-5 september 1992.

**Abstract:** The structure algorithm provides an extension to nonlinear systems of the Kronecker canonical forms relative to linear constant-coefficient implicit differential systems. A connection with the index problem is sketched in the conclusion.

**Key words:** implicit differential systems, index, Kronecker's canonical form, structure algorithm, inversion.

## 1 Introduction

The structure of linear constant-coefficient systems

$$A \frac{dx}{dt} + Bx = e(t), \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are real square matrices of order  $n$ ,  $x$  is the  $n$ -tuple of unknown variables,  $e(t)$  is an  $n$ -tuple of smooth time functions, is rather well known.

In [14], Sincovec et al. introduce the notion of index for (1) by using the Kronecker canonical form of matrix pencils [8]. If the matrix pencil  $\lambda A + B$  is regular<sup>1</sup>, there exist  $P$  and  $Q$ , two regular square matrices of dimension  $n$ , and an integer  $p$ , between 0 and  $n$ , such that

$$PAQ = \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } PBQ = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-p} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where  $1_p$  and  $1_{n-p}$  are the identity matrices of order  $p$  and  $n-p$ , respectively,  $E$  is a square nilpotent matrix of order  $n-p$  and  $R$  a square matrix of order  $p$ ; the nilpotency index of the matrix  $E$  is called the index (see [9, 1, 6, 7]).

This means that, with a linear change of coordinates and linear combinations of the equations, (1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dt} = Ry + f(t) \\ E \frac{dz}{dt} = z + g(t) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $Q^{-1}x = (y, z)'$  and  $Pe(t) = (f(t), g(t))'$ . This system is generally called the Kronecker canonical form of (1).

We show here (see also [12]) that the structure algorithm [15, 11] provides a natural extension of this

\*École des Mines de Paris, Centre Automatique et Systèmes, 60, Bd. Saint-Michel, 75006 Paris, FRANCE.

<sup>†</sup>Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes, CNRS-ESE, Plateau de Moulon, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, FRANCE.

<sup>‡</sup>École des Mines de Paris, Centre Automatique et Systèmes, 35, rue Saint-Honoré, 77305 Fontainebleau, FRANCE.

<sup>1</sup> $\lambda A + B$  is said to be regular if, and only if, the polynomial  $\det(\lambda A + B)$  in the complex variable  $\lambda$  is different from zero.

particular form to implicit nonlinear systems

$$F \left( \frac{dx}{dt}, x, e(t) \right) = 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)'$  is an  $n$ -tuple of analytic functions of their arguments on some open connected domain,  $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n)'$  is an  $n$ -tuple of known analytic time functions, and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$  is an  $n$ -tuple of unknown time functions. Such nonlinear canonical forms are generic : we do not address the problems of singularities; as for the structure algorithm, the rank of all the Jacobian matrices are assumed constant.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the structure algorithm and its suitable version due to Li and Feng [11]. In section 3, the nonlinear Kronecker canonical form is established. In conclusion, we sketch some connection with the index [7, 6].

## 2 Inversion

Consider the square system  $\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, u, t)$ ,  $y = h(x, u, t)$ . the state vector  $x$  belongs to an open connected domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $u$ , the control vector, belongs to an open connected domain of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ;  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the the output vector;  $f$  and  $h$  are analytic functions of their arguemts. The inversion of such systems has been studied by many authors in control theory. It consists in finding the control  $u(t)$  when the output  $y(t)$  is a known smooth time function. In this section, we only refer to the structure algorithm [15] and to a paper of Li and Feng [11] where the control variables appear nonlinearly. For linear systems, Silverman [13] establishes a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence and unicity of  $u(t)$ . This condition is constructive and based on an elimination principle. Hirschorn [10], Singh [15] and Descusse and Moog [2] use this elimination principle and propose inversion algorithms for nonlinear systems where  $f$  and  $h$  are nonlinear functions of  $x$  and linear functions of  $u$ . Li and Feng [11] use the same

elimination principle for inverting systems where  $f$  and  $h$  are arbitrary analytic functions.

## 2.1 Algorithm

For simplicity's sake, we have eliminated all the restrictions relative to singularities. We assume, once for all, that the ranks of all the Jacobian matrices are constant. Consider

$$(S) \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, u, t) \\ 0 = h(x, u, t) \end{cases}$$

Our purpose is to calculate  $x(t)$  and  $u(t)$ . The inversion algorithm is then as follows.

**Step  $k = 0$**  Denote by  $h_0(x, u, t)$  the function  $h(x, u, t)$ . If  $x(t)$  and  $u(t)$  are solutions of the inversion problem, then, for all time  $t$ ,  $h_0(x(t), u(t), t) = 0$ . ■

**Step  $k \geq 0$**  Assume we know the analytic function  $h_k(x, u, t)$  ( $\dim h_k = m$ ) such that, if  $x(t)$  and  $u(t)$  are solution of the inversion problem, then  $h_k(x(t), u(t), t) \equiv 0$ .

Denote by  $\mu_k$  the rank of  $h_k$  with respect to  $u$ , i.e. the rank of the Jacobian matrix  $\frac{\partial h_k}{\partial u}$ . If we permute the rows of  $h_k$ , we may assume that its first  $\mu_k$  rows,  $\bar{h}_k = (h_k^1, \dots, h_k^{\mu_k})'$ , are such that the rank of  $\frac{\partial \bar{h}_k}{\partial u}$  is maximum and equal to  $\mu_k$ . Consequently, the last  $m - \mu_k$  rows,  $\tilde{h}_k = (h_k^{\mu_k+1}, \dots, h_k^m)'$ , of  $h_k$  depend on  $u$  only through  $\bar{h}_k$ : there exists an analytic function  $\Phi_k(x, t, \blacksquare)$  such that  $\tilde{h}_k(x, u, t) = \Phi_k(x, t, \bar{h}_k(x, u, t))$ .  $h_{k+1}$  is then defined by

$$h_{k+1}(x, u, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_k(x, u, t) \\ \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x}\right)_{(x,t,0)} f(x, u) + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial t}\right)_{(x,t,0)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\Phi_k(x, t, 0)] = \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial x}\right)_{(x,t,0)} f(x, u) + \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_k}{\partial t}\right)_{(x,t,0)}$$

is equal to  $\frac{d}{dt}[\tilde{h}_k(x, u, t)]$ , when  $\bar{h}_k(x(t), u(t), t) = 0$  for all  $t$ . This implies that, if  $x(t)$  and  $u(t)$  are solution of the inversion problem, then  $h_{k+1}(x(t), u(t), t) = 0$ . We impose additionally that the first  $\mu_k$  rows of  $\bar{h}_{k+1}$  coincide with the ones of  $\bar{h}_k$ . ■

## 2.2 Algorithmic analysis

The  $\mu_k$ 's constitute a nondecreasing series of integers less or equal to  $m$ . One can prove [3] that this series does not depend on the arbitrary choices that we impose at each step of the algorithm. The  $\mu_k$  correspond to structural invariants attached to the system. Clearly, the  $\mu_k$  are constant for  $k$  large enough. The following definition<sup>2</sup> is thus natural.

<sup>2</sup>[11], definition 2.

**Definition 1.** If there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\mu_k = m$ , then the relative order  $\alpha$  of  $(S)$  is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $\mu_k = m$ . If, for all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\mu_k < m$ , then the relative order  $\alpha$  of  $(S)$  is equal to  $+\infty$ .

One has also the following result<sup>3</sup>:

**Lemma 1.** If the relative order  $\alpha$  of  $(S)$  is finite, then  $\alpha \leq n$  and the rank of the Jacobian matrix

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_0(x, t, 0) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_{\alpha-1}(x, t, 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is equal to the number of its rows,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha} (m - \mu_k)$ .

The stationary value of the  $\mu_k$ 's is the *differential output rank* of the system  $(S)$  [4, 3]. If this output rank is equal to  $m$ , then the system is invertible<sup>4</sup>: the relative order  $\alpha$  of  $(S)$  is then finite and the square algebraic system  $h_\alpha(x, u, t) = 0$  provides  $u$  as a function of  $x$  and  $t$ .

If the output rank is less than  $m$ , the system is not invertible: the relative order  $\alpha$  of  $(S)$  is infinite and, generically,  $(S)$  has no solution.

## 3 Canonical form

In the following theorem, we have replaced  $F\left(\frac{dx}{dt}, x, e(t)\right)$  by  $F\left(\frac{dx}{dt}, x, t\right)$  for clarity's sake.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the square nonlinear implicit system depending on the time  $t$ ,  $(\Sigma): F\left(\frac{dx}{dt}, x, t\right) = 0$ , where  $F$  is an analytic function of its arguments and  $x$  belongs to an open connected domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that the relative order  $\alpha$  (definition 1) of

$$(\Sigma_e) \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = u \\ 0 = F(u, x, t) \end{cases}$$

is finite. Then, there exist, locally, a change of variables on  $x$ ,  $\xi = \Xi(x, t)$ ,<sup>5</sup> depending on  $t$ , and a local diffeomorphism  $\Pi_{\left(\frac{d\xi}{dt}, \xi, t\right)}(F)$  depending on  $\left(\frac{d\xi}{dt}, \xi, t\right)$  such that:  $\xi$  is made of  $\alpha + 1$  groups of components  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\alpha, \zeta)'$  with  $\dim(\xi_1) \geq \dim(\xi_2), \dots, \geq \dim(\xi_\alpha)$ ;  $\Pi_{\left(\frac{d\xi}{dt}, \xi, t\right)}(F) = 0$  for all  $\frac{d\xi}{dt}$ ,  $\xi$  and  $t$ ;  $\Pi_{\left(\frac{d\xi}{dt}, \xi, t\right)}\left(F\left(\frac{\partial \Xi^{-1}}{\partial \xi} \frac{d\xi}{dt} + \frac{\partial \Xi^{-1}}{\partial t}, \Xi^{-1}(\xi, t), t\right)\right)$  is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 - \phi_1\left(\xi, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}\right) \\ \xi_3 - \phi_2\left(\xi, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \frac{d\xi_2}{dt}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_\alpha - \phi_{\alpha-1}\left(\xi, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\xi_{\alpha-1}}{dt}\right) \\ \frac{d\xi}{dt} - \Omega\left(\zeta, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\xi_\alpha}{dt}\right) \end{pmatrix};$$

<sup>3</sup>[11], theorem 1 and lemma 4.

<sup>4</sup>For square systems there is no difference between left and right invertibility.

<sup>5</sup>In the theorem proof, we show how the function  $\Xi$  is explicitly given by the structure algorithm.

the functions  $\phi_k$  and  $\Omega$  are analytic; each function  $\phi_k$  vanishes when  $(\frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\xi_k}{dt})$  becomes zero; the rank of  $\phi_k$  with respect to  $\frac{d\xi_k}{dt}$  is maximum.

In the coordinates  $\xi$ ,  $(\Sigma)$  yields :

$$(\Sigma_c) \begin{cases} \xi_1 = 0 \\ \xi_2 = \phi_1\left(\xi, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}\right) \\ \xi_3 = \phi_2\left(\xi, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \frac{d\xi_2}{dt}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_\alpha = \phi_{\alpha-1}\left(\xi, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\xi_{\alpha-1}}{dt}\right) \\ \frac{d\xi}{dt} = \Omega\left(\xi, t, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\xi_\alpha}{dt}\right). \end{cases}$$

When  $F$  is linear with respect to  $\frac{dx}{dt}$  and  $x$  and independant of  $t$ ,  $F(\frac{dx}{dt}, x, t) = A\frac{dx}{dt} + Bx - e(t)$ ,  $(\Sigma_c)$  corresponds to the Kronecker's canonical form (3) :  $\Xi = Q$ ,  $\Pi = P$  and the nilpotent operator  $E$  corresponds to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\xi_1}{dt} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d\xi_\alpha}{dt} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_1\left(\xi, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{\alpha-1}\left(\xi, \frac{d\xi_1}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\xi_{\alpha-1}}{dt}\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the coordinates  $\xi$  can be called canonical coordinates, and the system  $(\Sigma_c)$  the canonical form of  $(\Sigma)$  associated to the canonical coordinates  $\xi$ . Notice that, as in the linear case, such canonical coordinates are not unique.

**Proof of theorem 1** We only describe in details the passage to  $(\Sigma_c)$ . The obtention of the equation diffeomorphism  $\Pi(\frac{dx}{dt}, \xi, t)$  (■) is then straightforward : it is just the translation, into a more mathematical statement, of sentences like "the system becomes equivalent to" that are used here below.

Since  $\alpha < +\infty$ , lemma 1 holds. Consequently, we can complete the functions  $\Phi_0(x, t, 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\Phi_{\alpha-1}(x, t, 0)$  with a function  $\Psi(x)$  such that

$$x \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 = \Phi_0(x, t, 0) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_\alpha = \Phi_{\alpha-1}(x, t, 0) \\ \zeta = \Psi(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a local diffeomorphism. Denote by  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\alpha, \zeta)' = \Xi(x, t)$  ( $\dim(\xi_k) = n - \mu_{k-1}$  and  $\dim \zeta = n - \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} (n - \mu_{k-1})$ ).  $h_k(x, \dot{x}, t)$  is denoted by  $h_k(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t)$ ,  $\Phi_k(x, t, \blacksquare)$  is denoted by  $\Phi_k(\xi, t, \blacksquare)$  with  $\xi = \Xi(x, t)$  and  $\dot{\xi} = \frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial x} \dot{x} + \frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial t}$ .

By construction, the first  $\mu_0$  rows of  $\bar{h}_1$  correspond to  $\bar{h}_0$ . Consequently

$$h_1(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\bar{h}_1(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\dot{\xi}_1 = \Phi_1(\xi, t, (\bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t), \dot{\xi}_1)')$ .  $\xi_1$  is made of two groups of components,  $\xi_1 = (\bar{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_1)'$  of dimensions, respectively,  $\mu_1 - \mu_0$  and  $n - \mu_1$ .

Similarly, each  $\xi_k$  is made of two groups of components,  $\xi_k = (\bar{\xi}_k, \dot{\xi}_k)'$  of dimensions  $\mu_k - \mu_{k-1}$  and  $n - \mu_k$ . By construction,

$$h_k(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_{k-1}(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \dot{\xi}_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_k(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \dot{\xi}_k \\ \dot{\xi}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\bar{h}_k(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_{k-1}(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \dot{\xi}_k \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\dot{\xi}_k = \Phi_k(\xi, t, (\bar{h}_k(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t), \dot{\xi}_k)')$ .

Since  $\mu_\alpha = n$ , we have

$$h_\alpha(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = \bar{h}_\alpha(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\xi}_\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

The rank of  $h_\alpha$  with respect to  $\dot{\xi} = (\dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_1, \dot{\xi}_2, \dot{\xi}_2, \dots, \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1}, \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1}, \dot{\xi}_\alpha, \dot{\xi}_\alpha)'$  is equal to  $n$  and  $\dim(h_\alpha) = n$ . Necessarily, the rank of the Jacobian matrix  $\frac{\partial \bar{h}_0}{\partial \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\alpha-1}, \zeta}$  is equal to  $n - \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} (\mu_k - \mu_{k-1}) = \mu_0$ . But the dimension of the vector  $(\dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1}, \dot{\xi}_\alpha)$  is equal to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} (n - \mu_k) + n - \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} (n - \mu_{k-1}) = \mu_0.$$

Consequently,  $\frac{\partial \bar{h}_0}{\partial \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\alpha-1}, \zeta}$  is square and invertible.

Thus, locally,  $\bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = 0$  can be written explicitly with respect to  $(\dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1}, \dot{\xi}_\alpha)$  :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_1 = \theta_2(\xi, t, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_\alpha) \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1} = \theta_\alpha(\xi, t, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_\alpha) \\ \dot{\xi}_\alpha = \Theta(\xi, t, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_\alpha). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

One has :  $\dot{\xi}_k = \Phi_k(\xi, t, (\bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t), \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_k)')$ . Since  $\bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = 0$ , we have for  $k = 2, \dots, \alpha$

$$\theta_k(\xi, t, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_\alpha) = \Phi_{k-1}(\xi, t, (0, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_k)').$$

Since

$$h(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = h_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) \\ \Phi_0(\xi, t, \bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t)) \end{pmatrix},$$

$h(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \bar{h}_0(\xi, \dot{\xi}, t) = 0 \\ \Phi_0(\xi, t, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

With (5), the change of variables  $x \rightarrow \xi$  transforms the system ( $\Sigma$ ) into

$$\begin{cases} \xi_1 &= 0 \\ \dot{\xi}_1 &= \Phi_1(\xi, t, (0, \dot{\xi}_1)') \\ &\vdots \\ \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1} &= \Phi_{\alpha-1}(\xi, t, (0, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1})') \\ \dot{\zeta} &= \Theta(\xi, t, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_\alpha), \end{cases}$$

with  $\Theta$  an analytic function. It suffices to take

$$\phi_k(\xi, t, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_k) = \dot{\xi}_k + \xi_{k+1} - \Phi_k(\xi, t, (0, \dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_k)')$$

and to remark that, locally,  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\alpha)$  is a function of  $(\dot{\xi}_1, \dots, \dot{\xi}_{\alpha-1})$ , in order to obtain the canonical form ( $\Sigma_c$ ). ■

## 4 Concluding remarks

In [6], we give a general algebraic definition of the index for nonlinear systems of form (4) through their linear tangent time-varying systems and non commutative extension of Laplace techniques. One can easily prove that the index is bounded above by the relative order  $\alpha$  of the extended system  $\frac{dx}{dt} = u$ ,  $0 = F(u, x, e(t))$  and is equal to  $\alpha$  when  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial e}$  is invertible. In [7] state-variable representation of linear time-varying implicit system are given. Similarly, such nonlinear Kronecker canonical forms provide generalized state-space form representation [5] of the implicit system (4). In [12], it is shown how such canonical forms can be used to analyze the convergence of numerical resolution algorithms.

## Références

- [1] K.E. Brenan, S.L. Campbell, and L.R. Petzold. *Numerical Solution of Initial-Value Problems in Differential-Algebraic Equations*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [2] J. Descusse and C.H. Moog. Dynamic decoupling for right invertible nonlinear systems. *Systems Control Letters*, 8 :345–349, 1988.
- [3] M.D. Di Benedetto, J.W. Grizzle, and C.H. Moog. Rank invariants of nonlinear systems. *SIAM J. Control Optimiz.*, 27 :658–672, 1989.
- [4] M. Fliess. A note on the invertibility of nonlinear input-output differential systems. *Systems Control Letters*, 8 :147–151, 1987.
- [5] M. Fliess. Generalized controller canonical forms for linear and nonlinear dynamics. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 35 :994–1001, 1990.
- [6] M. Fliess, J. Lévine, and P. Rouchon. Index of a general differential-algebraic implicit system. In *Proc. MTNS-91, Kobe*, June 1991.

- [7] M. Fliess, J. Lévine, and P. Rouchon. Index of a general linear time-varying implicit system. In Paris Hermès, editor, *Proc. of the first European Control Conference*, July 1991.
- [8] F.R. Gantmacher. *Théorie des Matrices : tome 2*. Dunod, Paris, 1966.
- [9] E. Haner, C. Lubich, and M. Roche. *The Numerical Solution of Differential-Algebraic Systems by Runge-Kutta Methods*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1409, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [10] K. M. Hirschorn. Invertibility of multivariable nonlinear control systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 24 :855–865, 1979.
- [11] C.-W. Li and Y.-K. Feng. Functional reproducibility of general multivariable analytic nonlinear systems. *Int. J. Control*, 45 :255–268, 1987.
- [12] P. Rouchon. *Simulation dynamique et commande non linéaire de colonnes à distiller*. PhD thesis, École des Mines de Paris, March 1990.
- [13] L. Silverman. Inversion of multivariable linear systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 14 :270–276, 1969.
- [14] R.F. Sincovec, A.M. Erisman, E.L. Yip, and M.A. Epton. Analysis of descriptor systems using numerical algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 26 :139–147, 1981.
- [15] S.N. Singh. A modified algorithm for invertibility in nonlinear systems. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 26 :595–598, 1981.